1   Robert S. Green (State Bar No. 136183)
    James Robert Noblin (State Bar No. 114442)
2   **GREEN & NOBLIN, P.C.**
    2200 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 101
3   Larkspur, CA 94939
    Telephone: (415) 477-6700
4   Facsimile: (415) 477-6710
    Email: gnecf@classcounsel.com
5
    Lynda Grant
6   **THEGRANTLAWFIRM, PLLC**
    521 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor
7   New York, NY 10175
    Telephone: 212-1292-4441
8   Facsimile: 212-292-4442
    Email: lgrant@grantfirm.com
9
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

11

12   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13   **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14

15 JEFFREY BERK, on behalf of himself   Case No.:
    and all others similarly situated,
16   **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**
                    Plaintiff,
17
    vs.
18   JURY TRIAL DEMAND
19 COINBASE, INC., a Delaware
    Corporation d/b/a Global Digital Asset
20 Exchange ("GDAX"), Brian Armstrong
    and David Farmer,
21
                    Defendants.
22

23

24

25

26

27

28

───
                    CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

1   Plaintiff, Jeffrey Berk ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other persons

2 similarly situated, by his undersigned counsel, alleges in this complaint, the following based

3 upon his knowledge with respect to his own acts, and upon the investigation of his counsel,

4 which include public statements made by defendant Coinbase, Inc. ("Coinbase" or the

5 "Company"), Brian Armstrong ("Armstrong"), its founder and chief executive officer, and

6 David Farmer ("Farmer"), its director of communications, a review and analysis of media

7 reports, interviews, social media and other information concerning the Company and its actions

8 with regard to the cryptocurrency Bitcoin Cash ("Bitcoin Cash" or "BCH").

9   **INTRODUCTION**

10   1.   This is a class action on behalf of all Coinbase customers who placed purchase,

11 sale or trade orders with Coinbase or the GDAX in connection with Coinbase's launch of BCH

12 during the period of December 19, 2017 through and including December 21, 2017 (the "Class

13 Period") and who suffered monetary loss as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing (the "Class").

14 Excluded from the Class are Defendants, any entity owned or controlled by them, and any

15 officer, director, employee or agent of any of the Defendants, and any heirs, assigns, or family

16 members of any individual defendant.

17   2.   Bitcoin ("Bitcoin" or "BTC") is a digital currency that was created as a response

18 to the 2008 financial crisis and is the first decentralized digital currency that works without a

19 bank or central authority, but rather on a peer to peer basis.

20   3.   It is powered by its users who use cryptography to control its creation. The

21 Bitcoin protocol and software are published openly, and all Bitcoin transactions are kept on a

22 ledger visible to all users in a "blockchain". A blockchain is a continually growing chain of

23 blocks of cryptographically secured records of transactions. Blocks are created when the

24 distributed computers complete the work of cryptographically securing the information.

25   4.   Global actors that do the work of storing and securing the data do so for the

26 chance to obtain cryptocurrency when new chains are formed and are only added to the block

27 chain when there is consensus. As a general rule, decisions about the blockchain, and any

28 changes in the software are essentially controlled by a group of miners and developers.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

5.   With the increase in exposure of cryptocurrencies, and Bitcoin in particular, to the public, and the concomitant increase in the number of transactions being performed, in early 2017, these miners and developers determined that Bitcoin would experience what is known as a hard fork, or a split, resulting in a second blockchain and a new currency that was called Bitcoin Cash, in order to increase the speed of (number of) transactions that could be performed. At some point in about July 2017, these miners and developers determined that the hard fork would occur on about August 1, 2017.

6.   Coinbase is one of the most popular and accessible exchanges for the purchase, sale and use of Bitcoins, with more customers than Charles Schwab. By opening a Coinbase account, a person can obtain Bitcoins, and either buy and sell them or use them as currency with retailers and other businesses who accept Bitcoin as payments. Coinbase maintains a digital currency exchange known as the GDAX, which caters primarily to institutional and professional currency traders.

7.   Coinbase customers can set up what is known as a wallet, in which they keep their Bitcoins for later use or for investment.

8.   As one of the largest exchanges for the purchase and sale of Bitcoin, (and effectively a monopoly), the issue of whether Coinbase will maintain a market and support a cryptocurrency is essential to people who want to buy or sell the currencies.

9.   In response to a disclosure that Bitcoin would experience a "hard fork," which would create a new cryptocurrency called BCH, Coinbase initially announced that it was unprepared to and thus would not support the new currency. In mid to late July 2017, it further told customers that if they intended to participate in the new currency, they should withdraw their Bitcoins from Coinbase, resulting in a deluge of withdrawals.

10.   On about August 6, 2017, Coinbase abruptly changed course, and announced that it would allow current Coinbase customers at the time of the hard fork to withdraw their BCH but not until January 2018, but that it still would not support the currency.

-2-
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

1   11.   On December 19, 2017, a month after tipping off its own employees as to when it

2   would commence fully supporting BCH, Coinbase suddenly announced that it was opening up

3   its books to the buying and selling of BCH within minutes after its announcements.

4   12.   Unsurprisingly, those who had been tipped off, immediately swamped Coinbase

5   and the GDAX with buy and sell orders, thinning the liquidity but obtaining BCH at fair prices.

6   The market effect was to unfairly drive up the price of BCH for non-insider traders once BCH

7   came on line on the Coinbase exchange.

8   13.   The remaining Coinbase customers, however, were not so lucky. Within

9   minutes, but after its insiders were able to sell their shares, Coinbase stopped the trading in

10   BCH, and cancelled the outstanding orders of other customers, claiming that there was no more

11   liquidity in the issue. They opened BCH for purchase, sale and trading the next day, and again

12   within minutes, closed the books and cancelled all the outstanding order while insiders and

13   those who had prior knowledge of Coinbase's confidential information, were able to buy, sell

14   and trade.

15   14.   When Coinbase's customers' trades were finally executed, it was only after the

16   insiders had driven up the price of BCH, and thus the remaining Bitcoin customers only

17   received their BCH at artificially inflated prices that had been manipulated well beyond the fair

18   market value of BCH at that time.

19   15.   Rumors of insider trading, given the one month tip off that Coinbase gave to its

20   employees, immediately started circulating. Although the Company, through its chief executive

21   officer, Armstrong publicly announced that the Company had an insider trading policy, and that

22   it was undertaking an internal investigation of the insider trading allegation, to date, neither

23   Armstrong nor the Company has disclosed the result of its purported investigation.

24   16.   Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all Coinbase customers who were harmed

25   by the Company's changing statements in connection with its launch of BCH, and who were

26   damaged by Defendants' negligence in the handling of the launch.

27

28

-3-
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Jurisdiction and Venue

17.   This action is brought under diversity jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1332(d), in that the named Plaintiff is a citizen of a state different from the Defendants, and the aggregate amount in controversy for all Class members exceeds $5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

18.   Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)). Substantial acts in furtherance of the alleged conduct have occurred in this Judicial District. Many of the acts charged herein, including the dissemination of materially false and/or misleading information and the manipulation of the Company's stock, occurred in substantial part in this Judicial District, as did the acts of negligence.

Parties

19.   Plaintiff is a citizen of Arizona. On December 19, 2017, at 5 p.m. PST, Plaintiff attempted to purchase BCH within five minutes of Coinbase announcing that it was going to support BCH. Plaintiff's orders were not executed until 1:06 p.m. December 20, at which time, Plaintiff learned that his order was executed and that he had purchased BCH at the inflated price of $4,200.98 per BCH. Plaintiff's order was executed at prices 100% greater than the price at the time that he submitted his buy order.

20.   Coinbase maintains its principal place of business in San Francisco, California and is incorporated in Delaware. It is one of the most powerful digital currency exchanges in the world, buying and selling Bitcoin, BCH, Litecoin, and Ethereum.

21.   It does so through a secure platform, in which customers can buy, sell, transfer or store their digital current in electronic wallets. Although Coinbase maintains a digital currency exchange known as the GDAX, for the most part, it services professional traders and institutions, leaving Coinbase to act and the main broker and underwriter for retail customers wishing to purchase digital currencies.

22.   Coinbase is presently co-partnering with merchants such as Overstock.com, Dish Network, Dell, PayPal and Expedia, and has obtained investments from the New York Stock

-4-

00106104.000.docx

1 Exchange, among others. It is licensed in most states and operates in about 190 countries. It is

2 considered one of the most influential blockchain companies in the world.

3   23. Armstrong is one of the founders and the chief executive officer of Coinbase, and

4 one of its primary spokespersons. Armstrong works from the Coinbase headquarters in San

5 Francisco, California. During the Class Period, Armstrong made repeated statements in relation

6 to the Company's launch of BCH.

7   24. Farmer is Coinbase's Director of Communications. Farmer works from the

8 Coinbase headquarters in San Francisco. During the Class Period, Farmer made statements in

9 relation to the Company's mission to support other currencies, and about the launch of BCH.

10 **Factual Background**

11 **BCH is Created through a Hard Fork**

12   25. Bitcoin is one of the first digital currencies to gain widespread acceptance and

13 use. As Bitcoin increased in popularity, and the number of transactions increased, a

14 disagreement arose among key miners and Bitcoin developers about how to proceed with

15 Bitcoin, and how to upgrade the network to accommodate more transactions.

16   26. After a meeting in Hong Kong, about 80% of Bitcoin miners and developers

17 agreed to split the chain to create a new form of Bitcoin called Bitcoin Cash or BCH through the

18 hard fork process.

19   27. A hard fork occurs when a cryptocurrency splits into two, and the

20 cryptocurrency's existing code is changed, resulting in both an old version and a new version of

21 the currency. The hard fork is created through a new ledger with a different set of code

22 requiring all nodes or computers to make a change in their software.

23   28. On about August 1, 2017, Bitcoin experienced a hard fork, and BCH was

24 launched through a change in the Bitcoin protocol. At the time, anyone who held a Bitcoin was

25 supposed to receive the equivalent numbers of BCHs.

26   29. At the time of the BCH launch, many exchanges supported BCH, including

27 Bitfinex and Kraken, and BCH futures were trading at $475 on the VIABTC.

28

-5-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Coinbase First Announced that it would not Support BCH

30.   Although many of Coinbase's customers were due to receive BCH in their on-line wallets maintained by Coinbase, Coinbase initially refused to support BCH or to distribute BCH to customers' online wallets, thus effectively holding its customers' BCH hostage. (Those that held their Bitcoin off line or in hard drives did obtain their BCH.)

31.   Coinbase, and Armstrong on behalf of Coinbase, proceeded to make a number of statements as to when and whether Coinbase could and would support BCH.

32.   On July 19, 2017, in a FAQ on its website, Coinbase stated that it did not plan to support BCH at the time of the hard fork, and that customers would not be able to withdraw any "version of any Bitcoin from Coinbase." It further stated that "we have no plans to support additional blockchains at this time," despite the fact that Coinbase had announced its intention that customers benefit to the extent possible from hard forks.

33.   Coinbase reiterated its position on July 27, 2017, when it announced:

> In the event of two separate blockchains after August 1, 2017 we will only support one version. We have no plans to support the Bitcoin Cash fork. We have made this decision because it is hard to predict how long the alternative version of bitcoin will survive and if Bitcoin Cash will have future market value.

34.   On July 28, 2017, Coinbase publicly tweeted that:

> Coinbase does not intend to interact with the Bitcoin Cash Blockchain, or to access bitcoin cash (BCC) [later to become BCH]. In order to safely and securely access bitcoin cash, Coinbase would need to undertake a process of designing and testing significant changes to our systems—including hot and cold storage. This is one of the core reasons customers will not be able to withdraw bitcoin cash after the fork on August 1st 2017. If this decision were to change in the future and we were to access bitcoin cash, we would distribute to customers bitcoin cash (BCC) associated with bitcoin (BTC) balances at the time of the fork on August 1, 2017. Coinbase would not keep the bitcoin cash associated with customer bitcoin (BTC) balances for ourselves.

35.   On July 29, 2017, Coinbase stated in a tweet that it was "seeing a high backlog in bitcoin withdrawals due to significant congestion on the bitcoin network" and that it was taking "us longer than normal to process bitcoin withdrawals" of those customers seeking to withdraw their Bitcoins before the fork.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

1   36.   By July 30, 2017, Coinbase had been so inundated with requests for withdrawals,

2   that it was operating with significant delays, and unable to timely process the requests.

3   37.   Customers who kept their Bitcoins on hard drives or off line, were able to access

4   the equivalent amount of BCH. But Coinbase customers who kept their Bitcoins in their on-line

5   wallets at Coinbase did not receive their distributions, with Coinbase initially keeping the

6   Bitcoin Cash.

7   38.   Although customers' BCH was associated with their Bitcoins, they were unable

8   to withdraw their Bitcoins, or access and trade or sell their BCH. In the meantime, the price of

9   BCH rose to over $400 per BCH.

10   39.   Given the length of time that it takes for customers to move from one exchange

11   to another, moreover, it was impossible for Coinbase customers to quickly move their BTC

12   from Coinbase to another exchange that was supporting BCH, so that they could access their

13   BCH and could commence trading them.

14   40.   In essence, Coinbase held their property captive forcing them to lose millions in

15   value, and causing at least one cryptocurrency expert, Professor Tim Wu, to publicly state that

16   Coinbase could be held liable under common law property principles.

17   **Coinbase Announces That It Will Not Support BCH until 2018**

18   41.   In apparent response to customer outrage over its actions in connection with the

19   issuance of BCH, on August 3, 2017, Farmer, in a blog entitled, "Update on Bitcoin Cash"

20   stated that one of the "points" Coinbase wanted to make clear was the it "operate[s] by the

21   general principle that our customers should benefit to the greatest extent possible from hard

22   forks or other unexpected events," but misleadingly reiterated Coinbase's position that it would

23   not support BCH.

24   42.   In that blog, Farmer stated that, "Over the last several days, we've examined all

25   of the relevant issues and have decided to work on adding support for bitcoin cash for Coinbase

26   customers. We made this decision based on factors such as the security of the network,

27   customer demand, trading volumes, and regulatory considerations."

28

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

1   43.   However, Farmer then announced that Coinbase would not start to support BCH

2 until January 1, 2018, "assuming no additional risks emerge during that time" and that once

3 supported, customers will be able to withdraw bitcoin cash." Farmer further stated that until

4 that time, BCH would remain "safely stored on Coinbase."

5   44.   Notably, while it was keeping customers' Bitcoin Cash in reserve and

6 announcing that it would continue to do so until January 2018, Coinbase was able to raise $100

7 million in Series D funding from a group of private equity and venture capital investors,

8 including Spark Capital, Greylock Partners, Battery Ventures, Section 32, and Draper

9 Associates on August 10, 2017.

10   45.   Although Coinbase indicated that it wouldnot have the capacity to support BCH

11 until January 2018, about this time it announced that it would support another hard fork of

12 Bitcoin then slated for November 2017 (Segwit2x), and in a blog noted that its customers would

13 have access to that new currency immediately, undercutting its claims about its ability to

14 support an alternative currency.

15   46.   In fact, in a Coinbase FAQ the morning of December 19th, Coinbase stated that

16 "for now, Coinbase plans on supporting bitcoin cash withdrawals. If this changes, we will

17 notify all customers with an update e-mail", and further stated that Coinbase would not support

18 BCH withdrawals until January 1, 2018. Customers would not even be able to view the BCH

19 balance associated with their accounts at that time, however.

20   47.   Coinbase further stated that it was "currently designing, building, testing and

21 auditing our systems, to enable you to withdraw your bitcoin cash balance." At the time that it

22 made these announcements, Coinbase had already told its employees that it intended to launch

23 the currency in mid-December but failed to disclose this material information to Coinbase

24 customers.

25   48.   In the meantime, this failure to disclose such material information provided

26 Coinbase insiders and investors with time to prepare their strategy for Coinbase's BCH launch.

27

28

-8-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

Coinbase Suddenly Announces its Support for Bitcoin Cash

49.   In conflict with its prior announcements, including that it would only distribute Bitcoin Cash to customers at the time of the fork and then only for withdrawal purposes, on December 19, 2017, Coinbase, without prior notice suddenly announced that it was opening access to Bitcoin cash that day for trading.

50.   In a tweet, and without any other notice, Coinbase stated, "Buy, sell and receive Bitcoin Cash on Coinbase," and cited to its blog implying that it now had the capacity to handle the launch.

51.   On its blog, Coinbase effectively admitted that its failure to support Bitcoin Cash was contrary to its prior public statements and its purported mission statement that it operated "by the principle that our customers should benefit to the greatest extent possible form forks or other network events" and that doing so was essential to its "mission to make Coinbase the most trusted, safe, and easy-to-use digital currency exchange."

52.   It further stated that "Sends and receives" were available immediately, and that buys and sells would be available to all customers once there was sufficient liquidity on GDAX, within a few hours.

53.   Within minutes of this announcement, BCH experienced a significant run up of its price, although almost all Coinbase customers were not aware of this sudden support for the currency.

54.   In fact, many Coinbase customers noted on various blogs and sites, such as Reddit, they were not aware that Coinbase was trading BCH, until they actually saw the BCH being bought and sold along with BTC.

55.   Given the immediate, suspicious run up of BCH, when most Coinbase customers were unaware that it was trading, Coinbase felt compelled to address what was evident—that the run up was caused by insider trading by those who had been tipped by the Company as to when it was going to commence support for BCH.

56.   In its blog, Coinbase announced that it maintains a "strict trading policy and internal guideline for employees" who had been prohibited from trading in Bitcoin Cash for

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

1   several weeks. However, Coinbase did not address, nor it disclose, the fact that insider trading

2   had taken place and that its employees had been tipped at least a month before that Coinbase

3   intended to commence support for BCH in December.

4   **The Offering of Bitcoin Cash is a Disaster**

5   57.   Most Coinbase customers were not informed about Coinbase's decision to

6   support buying and selling of BCH until after the GDAX and Coinbase had opened trading, and

7   the price of BCH had spiked.

8   58.   Although Coinbase employees and potentially others knew for over a month that

9   Coinbase was going to launch BCH, it was not until December 19, 2017, that Coinbase made

10   the sudden announcement that it would support BCH trading on three new books: BCH-USD,

11   BCH-EUR, and BCH-BTC, but then in post only mode, which would allow for orders to be

12   placed but not filled, thus presumably establishing liquidity.

13   59.   Less than an hour later, Coinbase announced that it was going to enable full

14   trading of the BCH-USD book in five minutes, at 5:20 pm PST, and opened the books three

15   minutes later.

16   60.   Two minutes and 40 seconds later, the book was opened and over 4,443 orders

17   were placed with 3,461 orders matched, equal to $15.5 million of trading and the price of BCH

18   jumped 200% to over $8,499. Liquidity was quickly thinned by these traders who were

19   prepared to trade at its sudden opening, leaving the rest of Coinbase's customers out of luck.

20   Two minutes after BCH commenced trading on the GDAX, Coinbase placed restrictions on

21   trading, preventing orders from being filled.

22   61.   By 6:30 pm PST, Coinbase announced that the BCH-USD, BCH-EUR, and

23   BCH-BTC books would move to "cancel-only" mode, due to thinning liquidity (and Coinbase's

24   apparent intent to support its price for those insiders who had purchased) and that all open

25   orders essentially for any other Coinbase customers seeking to trade that day, would be cleared

26   so that any Coinbase customer who did not know or was unable to trade at Coinbase's sudden

27   open (and who were not aware that it was going to commence trading BCH on December 19th),

28   lost any opportunity to buy at a fair price, rather an artificially manipulated price.

-10-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1   62.   At 8:56 a.m. PST, on December 20, 2017, Coinbase announced its intention to

2 open the BCH-USD, BCH-EUR, and BCH-BTC books in 'post-only" mode, and at 1:00 p.m.

3 PST opened the book but then canceled the BCH-EUR and BCH-BTC books and moved them

4 to cancel only mode, and failed to open them for the remainder of the year.

5 **Coinbase Does Not Deny Insider Trading Claims and Admits Employees were Tipped**

6   63.   Rumors that the run up in the price of BCH immediately prior to Coinbase's

7 sudden decision to fully launch BCH on December 19 were caused by insider trading started

8 circulating immediately.

9   64.   In response, Armstrong implicitly admitted that the allegations had some

10 traction, stating, "Given the price increase in the hours leading up to the announcement [that the

11 Company would support BCH], we will be conducting an investigation into this matter, and that

12 if the Company found evidence of wrongdoing, he would "not hesitate to terminate the

13 employee immediately and take appropriate legal action."

14   65.   Armstrong further announced that the Company maintains a policy prohibiting

15 employees from buying and selling BCH and that at that point, he was not aware of any

16 wrongdoing.

17   66.   Defendants' public statements were disseminated by way of the internet, which

18 culminated purchases, sales and trade orders on the Coinbase website, which is maintained and

19 run from its headquarters in San Francisco, California.

20   67.   To date, the Company has not publicly denied that in fact insider trading

21 occurred or the results of the purported internal investigation.

22   68.   Rather, in a January 9, 2018 GDAX blog, Adam White ("White"), the

23 Company's general manger, in an article entitled, "Bitcoin Cash Launch Retrospective",

24 admitted that employees were notified of Coinbase's decision to support BCH trading on

25 November 13, 2017, over a month before Coinbase's customers, so that the employees had a

26 month to craft the trading strategies to employ the moment that Coinbase enabled CTH trading.

27 White then reiterated that employees were barred from sharing this confidential information and

28

-11-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

1   from buying and selling without stating whether in fact insider trading had taken place or

2   whether the purported "internal investigation" had yielded any results.

3   69.   The GDAX, however, did amend its rules on January 16, 2018, to require order

4   minimums.

<p align="center">**CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**</p>

6   70.   Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil

7   Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf the Class defined in paragraph 1, above.

8   71.   The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is

9   impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Coinbase had over 11 million customers, and

10   approximately $11 billion (USD) of BCH was traded on December 20, 2017.

11   72.   While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time

12   and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, customers may be determined

13   through Coinbase's documents.

14   73.   Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all

15   members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct.

16   74.   Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the

17   Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class litigation.

18   75.   Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and

19   predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the

20   questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

21   (a)   Whether the conduct of Coinbase in connection of the launch of BCH was unfair

22   conduct under the California Unfair Competition Law;

23   (b)   Whether statements made by Defendants to Coinbase customers during the Class

24   Period omitted and/or misrepresented material facts about the timing and ability

25   of Coinbase to support BCH and disclosure of its plans to launch BCH to its

26   employees and other insiders; and

27   (c)   Whether Coinbase was negligent in its handling of the launch of BCH, including

28   failing to properly oversee its employees and others who were tipped.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

76.   A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the monetary losses suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

77.   The claims asserted herein are a matter of public policy, and do not arise out of the Plaintiff's or any other customer contract.

78.   Customers of Coinbase are not asked and therefore do not have to agree to the Customer Agreement, which contains an arbitration clause, a class action waiver provision and a limitation on damages. In opening an account, a customer merely has to provide identifying information and bank, wire transfer or credit card information in order to start purchasing currency or trading, without clicking, agreeing or opting in or out of those provisions. Nowhere on the Coinbase website does it require actual approval or a meeting of minds with respect to the terms of the customer agreement, and in particular, the arbitration clause, the class action waiver and the limitation on damages.

79.   In fact, it is only by going through the website, and a multitude of links that a customer can obtain the Customer Agreement, which contains the arbitration provision, the class action waiver and the limitation of liability.

80.   Further, even if the arbitration and attendant provisions are enforceable and this matter arise under the Customer Agreement, Section 7.2 of the Customer Agreement or the arbitration/class action provision requires the Company to attempt to resolve any dispute informally as a condition precedent to the requirement to arbitrate.

81.   However, Coinbase is unprepared to and therefore does not provide sufficient customer support such that this condition precedent can be satisfied, thereby precluding the Company from enforcing the arbitration and class action waiver clause at Section 7.2 of the Customer Agreement.

-13-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

82.   Plaintiff made several attempts by email to obtain redress from Coinbase which went unanswered.

83.   Certain of the claims asserted herein, including claims against Armstrong and Farmer, do not invoke any provisions of the Customer Agreement, as those persons are not parties to the Customer Agreement.

84.   Additionally, certain sections of the Customer Agreement are ambiguous and inconsistent and therefore cannot be enforced. Section 8.3 purports to limit Coinbase's liability in a section entitled, "Limitation of Liability; No Warranty", but Section 7.2 states that should an action proceed to arbitration, "[t]he arbitrator may award any relief that a court of competent jurisdiction could award."

COUNTS

Count I: Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL")(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 17200, *et seq*.)

85.   Plaintiff incorporates all of the above allegations as if fully set forth herein.

86.   California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") prohibits and makes actionable any unfair business practice. Defendant Coinbase's conduct, all of which emanated from California, constitutes unfair business practices.   Defendant's conduct allowed Coinbase's agents, employees and others, who were aware that Coinbase was going to support BCH in December 2017, to purchase BCH from other exchanges, and devise their strategy to either purchase or sell their BCH through Coinbase and on the GDAX, once Coinbase announced that it was going to support BCH.

87.   Coinbase's acts and practices constitute "unfair" business acts and practices, in that the harm caused by Coinbase's wrongful conduct outweighs any utility of such conduct, and such conduct (i) offends public policy, (ii) is immoral, unscrupulous, unethical, oppressive, deceitful and offensive, or (iii) has caused and will continue to cause substantial injury to consumers such as Plaintiffs and the Class.

-14-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

88.   As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendant Coinbase's unfair business practices, Plaintiff and Class members sustained ascertainable losses, in that they received less BCH than they would have obtained at the time that they placed their trades.

89.   Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to and do seek an order of restitution and disgorgement requiring Coinbase to restore to them the additional benefits and monies that Defendant Coinbase received in connection with Class Members' purchase, sales and trades of BCH.

90.   Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their attorneys' fees and costs under California Code of Civil Procedure, Section 1021.5.

Count II-Negligence and Negligent Misrepresentation against Coinbase, Armstrong and Farmer

91.   Plaintiff repeats the allegations above as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiff explicitly disclaims any allegations of fraud.

92.   Coinbase, Armstrong and Farmer owed Plaintiff and Class Members a duty of reasonable care, which they breached.   By operating an exchange through which customers, and particularly retail customers, could buy, sell and trade currency, Defendants owed the highest duties of reasonable care to Coinbase's customers.

93.   Defendants were negligent in performing these duties, and in particular, in failing to prevent their employees and other insiders from engaging in insider trading.

94.   Defendants were further negligent and failed to use reasonable due care in ensuring that Coinbase could successfully launch BCH, and that its systems could properly handle the number of transactions that would occur once it suddenly launched BCH.

95.   Defendants made the representations alleged above without reasonable grounds for believing them to be true and with the intent that Coinbase customers would rely on their statements.

96.   Plaintiff and Class Members were ignorant of the truth and justifiably relied on the statements made by Defendants.

-15-
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

97.   There is a strong public interest in Defendants' proper and non-negligent performance of their duties.

98.   Because Defendants breached their legal duties, Plaintiff and Class members suffered the damage described herein.

99.   The damages suffered by Plaintiff and Class members were all general and special damages arising from the natural and foreseeable consequences of Defendants' wrongdoing and were proximately caused by Defendants' acts.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all other Class members similarly situated, prays for relief and judgment as follows:

(a)   Determining that this is a proper class action pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

(b)   Awarding compensatory damage and restitution in favor of Plaintiff and the other Class members against Defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be determined at trial, including interest thereon;

(c)   Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in this action, including a reasonable allowance of fees for Plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and

(d)   Awarding Plaintiff and the other Class members such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

DATED: March 1, 2018   **GREEN & NOBLIN, P.C.**

By: /s/ Robert S. Green
            Robert S. Green

James Robert Noblin
2200 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 101
Larkspur, CA 94939
Telephone: (415) 477-6700
Facsimile: (415) 477-6710
Email: gnecf@classcounsel.com

-16-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

Lynda Grant
THEGRANTLAWFIRM, PLLC
521 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10175
Telephone: 212-1292-4441
Facsimile: 212-292-4442
Email: lgrant@grantfirm.com

6

Attorneys for Plaintiff

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-17-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

00106104.000.docx

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
JEFFREY BERK, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff   Maricopa County, Arizona
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys *(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)*
Robert S. Green (SBN 136183), Green & Noblin, P.C. (415) 477-6700
2200 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 101, Larkspur, CA 94939

DEFENDANTS
COINBASE, INC., a Delaware Corporation d/b/a Global Digital Asset Exchange ("GDAX"), Brian Armstrong and David Farmer

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)*

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- [ ] 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- [ ] 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- [ ] 3 Federal Question *(U.S. Government Not a Party)*
- [X] 4 Diversity *(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)*

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*
(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	[ ] 1	[ ] 1	Incorporated *or* Principal Place of Business In This State	[ ] 4	[X] 4
Citizen of Another State	[X] 2	[ ] 2	Incorporated *and* Principal Place of Business In Another State	[ ] 5	[ ] 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	[ ] 3	[ ] 3	Foreign Nation	[ ] 6	[ ] 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

CONTRACT
- [ ] 110 Insurance
- [ ] 120 Marine
- [ ] 130 Miller Act
- [ ] 140 Negotiable Instrument
- [ ] 150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits
- [ ] 151 Medicare Act
- [ ] 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)
- [ ] 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits
- [ ] 160 Stockholders' Suits
- [ ] 190 Other Contract
- [ ] 195 Contract Product Liability
- [ ] 196 Franchise

REAL PROPERTY
- [ ] 210 Land Condemnation
- [ ] 220 Foreclosure
- [ ] 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment
- [ ] 240 Torts to Land
- [ ] 245 Tort Product Liability
- [ ] 290 All Other Real Property

TORTS

PERSONAL INJURY
- [ ] 310 Airplane
- [ ] 315 Airplane Product Liability
- [ ] 320 Assault, Libel & Slander
- [ ] 330 Federal Employers' Liability
- [ ] 340 Marine
- [ ] 345 Marine Product Liability
- [ ] 350 Motor Vehicle
- [ ] 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability
- [ ] 360 Other Personal Injury
- [ ] 362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice

PERSONAL INJURY
- [ ] 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability
- [ ] 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability
- [ ] 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability

PERSONAL PROPERTY
- [ ] 370 Other Fraud
- [ ] 371 Truth in Lending
- [X] 380 Other Personal Property Damage
- [ ] 385 Property Damage Product Liability

CIVIL RIGHTS
- [ ] 440 Other Civil Rights
- [ ] 441 Voting
- [ ] 442 Employment
- [ ] 443 Housing/ Accommodations
- [ ] 445 Amer. w/Disabilities– Employment
- [ ] 446 Amer. w/Disabilities–Other
- [ ] 448 Education

PRISONER PETITIONS

HABEAS CORPUS
- [ ] 463 Alien Detainee
- [ ] 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence
- [ ] 530 General
- [ ] 535 Death Penalty

OTHER
- [ ] 540 Mandamus & Other
- [ ] 550 Civil Rights
- [ ] 555 Prison Condition
- [ ] 560 Civil Detainee– Conditions of Confinement

FORFEITURE/PENALTY
- [ ] 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881
- [ ] 690 Other

LABOR
- [ ] 710 Fair Labor Standards Act
- [ ] 720 Labor/Management Relations
- [ ] 740 Railway Labor Act
- [ ] 751 Family and Medical Leave Act
- [ ] 790 Other Labor Litigation
- [ ] 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act

IMMIGRATION
- [ ] 462 Naturalization Application
- [ ] 465 Other Immigration Actions

BANKRUPTCY
- [ ] 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158
- [ ] 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157

PROPERTY RIGHTS
- [ ] 820 Copyrights
- [ ] 830 Patent
- [ ] 835 Patent–Abbreviated New Drug Application
- [ ] 840 Trademark

SOCIAL SECURITY
- [ ] 861 HIA (1395ff)
- [ ] 862 Black Lung (923)
- [ ] 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
- [ ] 864 SSID Title XVI
- [ ] 865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS
- [ ] 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
- [ ] 871 IRS–Third Party 26 USC § 7609

OTHER STATUTES
- [ ] 375 False Claims Act
- [ ] 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))
- [ ] 400 State Reapportionment
- [ ] 410 Antitrust
- [ ] 430 Banks and Banking
- [ ] 450 Commerce
- [ ] 460 Deportation
- [ ] 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations
- [ ] 480 Consumer Credit
- [ ] 490 Cable/Sat TV
- [ ] 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
- [ ] 890 Other Statutory Actions
- [ ] 891 Agricultural Acts
- [ ] 893 Environmental Matters
- [ ] 895 Freedom of Information Act
- [ ] 896 Arbitration
- [ ] 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
- [ ] 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*
- [X] 1 Original Proceeding
- [ ] 2 Removed from State Court
- [ ] 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- [ ] 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- [ ] 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)*
- [ ] 6 Multidistrict Litigation–Transfer
- [ ] 8 Multidistrict Litigation–Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)*:
28 USC § 1332(d)
Brief description of cause:
Violation of UCL in connection with Bitcoin sales

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:
[✓] CHECK IF THIS IS A **CLASS ACTION** UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.

DEMAND $

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: [X] Yes [ ] No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY *(See instructions):*
JUDGE
DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)
(Place an "X" in One Box Only)
[X] **SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND**   [ ] **SAN JOSE**   [ ] **EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE**

DATE 03/01/2018

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD   /s/ Robert S. Green

| Print | Save As... | Reset |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

  b) **County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

  c) **Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."

II. **Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  (1) <u>United States plaintiff</u>. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

  (2) <u>United States defendant</u>. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

  (3) <u>Federal question</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked**.** (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

III. **Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. **Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

  (1) <u>Original Proceedings</u>. Cases originating in the United States district courts.

  (2) <u>Removed from State Court</u>. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

  (3) <u>Remanded from Appellate Court</u>. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

  (4) <u>Reinstated or Reopened</u>. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

  (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

  (6) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Transfer</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

  (8) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Direct File</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

  <u>Please note that there is no Origin Code 7</u>. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

VI. **Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** <u>Example</u>: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. <u>Brief Description</u>: Unauthorized reception of cable service.

VII. **Requested in Complaint.** <u>Class Action</u>. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

  <u>Demand</u>. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  <u>Jury Demand</u>. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. **Related Cases.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

IX. **Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Second Class Action Against Coinbase Seeks to Recover Unclaimed Cryptocurrency Sent By Users Via Email](#)